

## nD-PointClouds a model for deeply integrating space, time and scale

20-10-2016

Peter van Oosterom

Plenary lecture at the Joint 3D Athens Conference, 18-21 October, Athens, Greece

Challenge the future

## Overview

- motivation
- scale as dimension
- functionality
- data management
- standardization (if time allows)
- conclusion



acknowledgements: based on joint work with Edward Verbree, Theo Tijssen, Oscar Martinez-Rubi, Mike Horhammer, Stella Psomadaki, Xuefeng Guan, ...

kind of sequel on last years keynote at JIGC 2015, Kuala Lumpur: Realistic benchmarks for point cloud data management systems



# Motivation

- point cloud data sets are often used for monitoring
   → dynamic point clouds
  - $\rightarrow$  time as additional organizing dimension
- organizing point cloud data in LoD's/importance levels is an approach to manage large data sets
   → LoD: discrete (multi-scale) or continuous (vario-scale)
  - $\rightarrow$  scale treated as additional organizing dimension
- how to manage higher dimensional point clouds (4D, 5D)



## Time as dimension

time more obvious: well-known space-time cubes



**T**UDelft



## Dynamic Point Clouds

- point clouds are generated every day, hour, minute
- repeated scans of the same area  $\rightarrow$  dynamic
- time as selective as the spatial component or needed in integrated space – time selections
- current DBMS solutions designed for static point clouds
- management is still a challenge
- example Sand Engine, time series Dutch coast, Deltares (see Psomadaki et al, Friday)

2011







5

## Scale as dimension

- less obvious than time
- data pyramids

   (Level of Detail/ Multi-scale)
- well-known from raster data
- results in discrete number of levels (multi-scale)
- level could be considered as additional dimension









Representations of space, time, scale ....after grid/voxel or object/vector

- new 3<sup>rd</sup> representation: nD point cloud (PC)
- many scientific domains (spatial): geography, medicine, physics, astronomy, hydrology, architecture, archaeology, arts, CAD, social media/ moving objects, gaming...
- deep integration space/time/scale
  - 1. more efficient, store, exchange, compute
  - 2. more functionality (smooth zoom/ analysis)
- nD PC in whole processing chain: acquisition, DBMS, analysis, simulation, dissemination, visualization,...
- BIG spatial data: 35 trillion points (in astronomy, geo-info)



## Overview

- motivation
- scale as dimension
- functionality
- data management
- standardization
- conclusion



## LoD/multi-scale Point Cloud

- data pyramid (Level of Detail/ Multi-scale) in analogy with raster
- imagine a fine 2D (or 3D) bottom level grid to organize the points
- option is after every 4 points in cell move 5<sup>th</sup> point to parent cell (for 2D organization and every 9<sup>th</sup> point in case of 3D), recursively bottom-up filling the cell/blocks at higher levels
- results in data pyramid  $\rightarrow$  discrete number of levels (multi-scale)
- Note: depending on input data distribution, some areas my reach higher levels than others



## Point cloud data pyramid

- overview queries just want top-subset
- detailed queries part of bottom-subset
- organize in data pyramid



## Data pyramid/multi-scale

- allows fast spatial searching including LoD selection
- the further away from viewer the lesser points selected (i.e. the higher level blocks/points)
- drawbacks:
  - 1. discrete number of levels
  - 2. bottom-up filling, unbalanced top
  - 3. point random assigned to level





## Discrete LoD's are visible...

http://ahn2.pointclouds.nl: 640.000.000.000 points on-line 3D viewer



## Data pyramid alternatives

- not random points, but more characteristic points move up (more important), some analysis needed; e.g.:
  - 1. compute local data density  $\rightarrow$  more dense less important
  - 2. compute local surface shape  $\rightarrow$  more flat less important
  - 3. other criteria, data collection/application dependent (intensity)

(combine into) one imp\_value of point  $\rightarrow$  better than random

 not bottom-up, but top-down population, make sure that top levels are always filled across complete domain (lower levels may not be completely filled)



## Further improvements ... beyond discrete levels

- might result in artefacts when looking at perspective view image (possible `see' blocks of different levels)
- also not optimal within block (near viewer perhaps not enough points, further from viewer perhaps too much points)
- would a true vario-scale option possible?
  - $\rightarrow$  Vario-scale geo-info research at TU Delft



## Vario-scale for point cloud data

- lesson from vario-scale research: add one continuous dimension to the geometry to represent scale (2D data vario-scale represented by 3D geometry)
- apply this to point cloud data
  - 1. compute the imp value
  - add this as dimension, either x,y,imp (z and others attributes) or x,y,z,imp (and others as attributes)
  - 3. Cluster/index the 3D or 4D point
  - 4. Define perspective view selections, view frustum with one more dimension: the further, the higher imp's







Normal view frustum selection and streaming based on importance

• view frustum selection

select point
from point\_cloud
where overlaps (point, view\_frust)

ordered on importance for streaming

select point
from point\_cloud
where overlaps (point, view\_frust)
order by imp desc;

(or distance from tilted plane)



## Delta queries for moving and zoom in/out

- select and send new points:
   point in new\_frust and point not in old\_frust
- find and drop old points:
   point in old\_frust and not in new\_frust
- note this works form both
  - 1. changing view position x,y(,z)
  - 2. zooming in or out ('view from above', imp-dimension)
- optional to work at point or block granularity (in selection and server-client communication)



## Overview

- motivation
- scale as dimension
- functionality
- data management
- standardization
- conclusion



## Point cloud analysis

#### • benefits:

- no conversion time
- no data loss
- analysis may be better
- LoD continuous (raster pixels factor 2, vector hard)
- very realistic representations (e.g tree with leaves)
- drawbacks:
  - lot of data
  - redevelop algorithms
- have it as option
   together with conversions PC ←→vector, PC←→ raster



## Types of analysis, direct point clouds

- solar energy potential
- viewshed/ line-of-sight
- 3D routing (e.g. drone; see Rodenberg et al, Friday)
- change detection (deformations)
- volume analysis computations
- hydrology/ flow over surface
- vegetation analysis
- continuous LoD also for analysis not only visualization





## Point cloud base functionality (1/2)

- 1. simple range/rectangle filters (of various sizes)
- 2. selections based on points along a linear route (with buffer)
- 3. selections of points overlapping a 2D polygon
- 4. selections based on the attributes such as intensity I (/RGB)
- 5. multi-resolution/LoD selection (select top x%)
- 6. sort points on relevance/importance (support streaming)
- 7. slope orientation or steepness computation
- 8. compute normal vector of selected points
- 9. convert point cloud to TIN representation
- 10. convert point cloud to Grid (DEM)
- 11. convert point cloud to contours
- 12. k-nearest neighbor selection (approx or exact)
- 13. selection based on point cloud density
- 14. spatial join with other table; e.g. 100 building polygons
- **15.** spatiotemporal selection queries (specify space+time range)
- 16. temporal differences computations and selection

17. compute min/max/avg/median height in 2D/3D area

**″u**Delft

## Point cloud base functionality (2/2)

18. hill shading relief (image based on point cloud/DEM/TIN) 19. view shed analysis (directly on point cloud with fat points) 20. flat plane detection (and segmentation point, add plane\_id) 21. curved surface detection (cylinder, sphere patches, freeform) 22. compute area of implied surface (by point cloud) 23. compute volume below surface 24. select on address/postal code/geographic names (gazetteer) 25. coordinate transformation RD-NAP - ETRS89 26. compute building height using point cloud (diff in/outside) 27. compute cross profiles (intersect with vertical plane) 28. combine multiple point clouds (Laser+MBES) 29. volume difference between design (3D polyhedral) surface and point could 30. detect break line point cloud surface

- 31. selection based on perspective view (point cloud density)
- 32. delta selection of query 31, moving to new position



## Overview

- motivation
- scale as dimension
- functionality
- data management
- standardization
- conclusion



## nD PC data management

- management of nD PC data, starts by defining
  - dimensions (and their roles/priorities in the points)
  - associated attributes
- dimensions are main drivers for data organization, clustering, indexing, subdivision (for parallel processing), compression, blocking/ caching and streaming of data
- investigate various data management options
  - kd-tree based organization (no scaling issues of different dimensions)
  - organization based on simplices (e.g. triangle/tet bins, Sierpinski)
  - *integrate dimension values in 1 value via Space Filling Curve (SFC): Morton, Hilbert, and relation to quadtree*



# Different blocking scheme's for space-time (or space-scale) cube

16x16x1 4x4x16 8x8x4 • challenge increases for higher dimensional hyper-cubes: • 4D: 2D space-time-scale, 3D space-time, 3D space-scale • 5D: 3D space-time-scale





- alternating x, y split
- needs resorting (again and again)
- works in nD (alternative x,y,z split, or x,y,z,t split, or ..)
- may get unbalanced, not dynamic
- dimensions metric independent (scaled, distributed differently)
- used by László Dobos et al (cosmological particles, Bridget Falck)



## Simplices based

- Sierpinski Curves: start with two triangles (2D) and split recursively
- works in 3D (tets) and higher?



**T**UDelft

## nD-PointClouds data management

- modelling theory for nD point cloud data
- tools to support modelers, developers and users in point cloud data organization design decisions for (given 1. data sets and 2. required functionalities in applications):
  - what are the dimensions,
  - what are the attributes,
  - what type of organization: Morton-code/ kd-tree/ nD simplices-part,
  - what relative scale of various dimensions,
  - parameters such as clustering/ blocking size,
  - what compression,
  - what approach and level of parallelism (incl. hardware aspects),
- $\rightarrow$  Modeling workbench



## In detail: Space Filling Curves (SFCs)

- apply linear ordering to a multidimensional domain (spatial clustering)
- organize a flat table efficiently
- full resolution keys: avoid storing x,y[,z] + t/l
   → recovered from SFC key
- use Index Organized Table (data stored in the B-Tree index)
- queries need to be re-written to SFC-ranges, benefit from spatial clustering → efficient
- SFCs based on hyper-cubes
  - Morton/Hilbert both **nD and quadrant recursive**
  - Consider relative scaling of dimensions
  - Space reserved on the hypercube for future data

Morton (Peano)



Hilbert





## Some Space Filling Curves

space filling curve used for block/cell creation ordering or numbering of cells in kD into 1D using bi-jective mapping



### 3D Morton curve

illustrations from <a href="http://asgerhoedt.dk">http://asgerhoedt.dk</a>

2x2x2 4x4x4 8x8x8





## 3D Hilbert curve

illustrations from Wikimedia Commons



# Average number of clusters for all possible range queries

- Faloutsos and Roseman, 1989
- N=8, number of Clusters for a given range query:

N*N GRID	HILBERT	PEANO	I
2*2	1.11	1.22	.11
4*4	1.64	2.16	
8*8	2.93	4.41	1.48
16*16	5.60	9.29	3.69







## Use Hilbert/Morton code

- two options:
  - 1. flat table model create b-tree index on SFC code
  - 2. walk the curve create point cloud blocks
- better flat table model (tested with Oracle):
  - not use the default heap-table, but an indexed organized table IoT (issue with duplicate values → CTAS distinct)
  - no separate index structure needed  $\rightarrow$  more compact, faster
- best (as no redundancy):
  - not x, y, z, time, LoD attributes, but just high-res SFC code (as x, y, z coordinates and time, LoD can be obtained from code)


### SQL DDL for index organized table

• Oracle:

CREATE TABLE PC\_demo (hm\_code NUMBER PRIMARY KEY) ORGANIZATION INDEX;

• PostgreSQL, pseudo solution, not dynamic (better available?):

CREATE TABLE PC\_demo (hm\_code BIGINT PRIMARY KEY); CLUSTER pc\_demo ON pc\_demo\_pkey;



### SFC code technique outline

A. define functions for given square/cubic/... nD domain:

- 1. Compute\_Code(point, domain) → Code; (for storage)
- 2. Overlap\_Codes(query\_geometry, domain) → Ranges; (for query)

B. add SFC Code during bulk load or afterwards

• or even replace point coordinates

C. modify table from default heap to b-tree on Code

SFC code (corresponds to Quadtree in 2D, Octree in 3D, ...)



### Compute\_Code (point, domain) → Morton\_code / Peano key / Z-order

- bitwise interleaving x-y coordinates
- also works in higher dimensions (nD)



# Overlap\_Codes (query\_geometry, domain) → Morton\_code\_ranges

- based on concepts of Region Quadtree & Quadcodes
- works for any type of query geometry (point, polyline, polygon)
- also works in 3D (Octree) and higher dimensions



# Overlap\_Codes(), recursive function Pseudo code

- notes: number of quads 2<sup>k</sup> (for 2D: 4, for 3D: 8, etc.)
  - quad\_covered with resolution tolerance
  - Range() translates quadcode to Morton range: start-end
  - above algorithm writes ranges in sorted order (eg linked list)



## Create ranges & post process (glue)

Overlap\_codes(the\_query, the\_domain, `');
Glue\_ranges(max\_ranges);

Overlap\_codes() creates the sorted ranges (in linked list). result can be large number of ranges, not pleasant for DBMS query optimizer gets query with many ranges in where-clause

reduce the number of ranges to `max\_ranges' with Glue\_ranges() (which also adds unwanted codes)

```
Glue_ranges(max_ranges) def
Num_ranges = Count_ranges();
Remove_smallest_gaps(num_ranges - max_ranges);
```

notes: - gaps size between two ranges may be 0 (no codes added)- efficient to create gap histogram by Count\_ranges()



#### Quadcells / ranges and queries

CREATE TABLE query results 1 AS (

SELECT \* FROM

(SELECT x,y,z FROM ahn flat WHERE

(hm code between 1341720126029824 and 1341720134418431) OR (hm code between 1341720310579200 and 1341720314773503) OR (hm code between 1341720474157056 and 1341720478351359) OR (hm\_code between 1341720482545664 and 1341720503517183) OR (hm code between 1341720671289344 and 1341720675483647) OR (hm code between 1341720679677952 and 1341720683872255)) a

(hm code between 1341720113446912 and 1341720117641215) OR

WHERE (x between 85670.0 and 85721.0) and (y between 446416.0 and 446469.0))

Query 1 (small rectangle)

**T**UDelft

# Drawback of high dimensional SFC?

- nD SFC keys have benefits: space-time-scale (and perhaps even other attributes) in compact organization
- may select on multiple dimensions at same time efficiently
- possible drawbacks of high dimensional point cloud:
  - 1. need big SFC code (128 bits number or other encoding, like varchar)
  - if just limited number of dimensions are specified for selection → other dimensions then range form min-to-max: `tall prisms' many (empty?) cells, what are the query performance consequences
- needs further exploration
  - (as the relative scaling of dimensions need attention  $\rightarrow$  basis for defining cross-dimension distance  $\rightarrow$  actual grouping/ clustering)



# Storage model balancing

'best' organization is dependent on data and queries; e.g.

- asking for time slice (map of one moment in time)
- performing time needle query (one location trough time)
- selecting data for time interval in limited area



dynamic data optimizing for space/time queries contradicts:

- 1. Points close in space and time should be stored (to some extent) close in memory for fast spatio-temporal retrieval
- 2. Already organized points should not be reorganized when inserting new data to achieve fast loading



### Storage Model

storage of space and time:

- 1. integrated space and time approach: space and time have an equal role in the SFC code
- 2. non-integrated space and time approach: time dominates over space (and used first in organization)



second option easier to add data (dynamic scenario), no reorganization



### Overview

- motivation
- scale as dimension
- Functionality
- data management
- standardization
- conclusion



# OGC Domain Working Group PC

DWG PC is active for about 1 year chairs: Stan Tillman (Intergraph), Jan Boehm (UCL), myself

first, conducted Point Cloud Survey (use, tools, needs,...) received 188 responses: <u>https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/1\_6389UlkIblWyneY5WbbO</u> <u>NMMJ-ZiNaeUmcs\_iG6olS0/edit?usp=sharing</u>

next, following priorities for the DWG are identified:

- 1. further collaborate with ASPRS on LAS (OGC community standard)
- 2. explore HDF5 as format for Point Cloud data
- 3. interoperable steaming Point Cloud webservices



# Standardization of point clouds?

- ISO/OGC spatial data:
  - at abstract/generic level, 2 types of spatial representations: features and coverages
  - at next level (ADT level), 2 types: vector and raster, but perhaps points clouds should be added
  - at implementation/ encoding level, many different formats (for all three data types)
- nD point cloud:
  - points in nD space and not per se limited to x,y,z
     (n ordinates of point which may also have m attributes)
  - make fit in future ISO 19107
  - note: nD point clouds are very generic;
    - e.g. also cover moving object point data: x,y,z,t (id) series.



# Characteristics of possible standard point cloud data type

- 1. xyz (a lot, use SRS, various base data types: int, float, double,..)
- 2. attributes per point (e.g. intensity I, color RGB or classification, or imp or observation point-target point or...)
  - $\rightarrow$  correspond conceptually to a higher dimensional point
- 3. fast access (spatial cohesion)  $\rightarrow$  blocking scheme (in 2D, 3D, ...)
- 4. space efficient storage  $\rightarrow$  compression (exploit spatial cohesion)
- 5. data pyramid (LoD, multi-scale/vario-scale, perspective) support
- 6. temporal aspect: time per point (costly) or block (less refined)
- 7. query accuracies (blocks, refines subsets blocks with/without tolerance value of on 2D, 3D or nD query ranges or geometries)
- 8. operators/functionality (next slides)
- 9. options to indicate use of parallel processing



## Grouping of functionality

- a. loading, specify conversion / organization
- b. selections
- c. LoD use/access
- d. analysis I (not assuming 2D surface in 3D space)
- e. analysis II (some assuming a 2D surface in 3D space)
- f. conversions (some assuming 2D surface in 3D space)
- g. towards reconstruction, classification, segmentation
- h. updates: insert, delete, modify

(grouping of functionalities from user requirements)



# Loading, specify conversion / organization

input format

- storage blocks based on which dimensions (2, 3, 4,...)
- data pyramid, block dimensions (level: discrete or continuous)
- compression option (none, lossless, lossy)
- spatial clustering (morton, hilbert,...) within and between blocks
- spatial indexing (rtree, quadtree) within and between blocks
- validation (more format, e.g. no attributes omitted, than any geometry or topological validation; perhaps outlier detection)?



### Webservices

- better not try to standardize point clouds at database level (not much support/ partners expected), but rather focus on webservices level (more support/ partners expected)
- there is overlap between WMS, WFS and WCS...
- OGC point cloud DWG should explore if WCS is good start for point cloud services:
  - If so, then analyse if it needs extension
  - If not good starting point, consider a specific WPCS, web point cloud service standards (and perhaps further increase the overlapping family of WMS, WFS, WCS,...)



### Overview

- motivation
- scale as dimension
- functionality
- data management
- standardization
- conclusion



### Related projects and PhD theses

- Massive Point Clouds (NL): NL eScience Center, Oracle, RWS, Fugro, CWI/MonetDB, TUD Harvest4D (EU): Uni Wien, TUD computer graphics
- IQumulus (EU): UCL, TUD, many more
- Ahn Vu Vo: Spatial Data Storage and processing Strategies for Urban Laser Scanning, PhD thesis, University College Dublin, October 2016.
- Remi Cura: Inverse Procedural Street Modelling from interactive to automatic reconstruction, PhD thesis, University Paris Est (IGN/Thales), September 2016.



# Conclusion

- nD-PointClouds as 3<sup>rd</sup> representation: direct use (storage, analysis, visualization) or conversation to vector or raster
- develop functionality inside the database: encoding and decoding SFC, SFC ranges generation
- investigate different space-time-scale relative dimension representations in hypercube (for surface PC data, but also for more dynamic data: moving object trajectories)
- investigate other SFCs (Morton/Hilbert, less ranges) and/or other organizations (kd-tree, simplex based)
- generation of blocks using the same integrations of space, time and scale (more efficient: less rows, block compression, ...)
- standardize streaming, progressive nD-PointCloud web-services





# Implementation / code

- Python code Dynamic Point Cloud available at: <u>https://github.com/stpsomad/DynamicPCDMS</u>
- C++ code for Morton/Hilbert encode/decode/range generation <u>https://github.com/kwan2004/SFCLib</u>
- eScience Massive Point Cloud code (database/ viewer) & docu <u>http://pointclouds.nl</u>
- Oracle Database 12c (Enterprise Edition Release 12.1.0.1.2 – 64 bit)
  - Use of Index Organized Table (IOT)
  - NUMBER data type for 128 bit Morton/Hilbert keys



### Thanks for your attention

• time for questions?



# OGC actions in more detail ASPRS: LAS file format

- American Society for Photogrammetry and Remote Sensing (ASPRS) developed LAS 1.4; <u>https://www.asprs.org/committee-general/laser-las-</u> <u>file-format-exchange-activities.html</u> (with Domain Profile)
- 2 nov'15: OGC and ASPRS to *collaborate* on geospatial standards, invite participation in Point Cloud work; <u>http://www.opengeospatial.org/pressroom/pressreleases/2313</u>
- Ongoing effort to bring the LAS 1.4 point cloud format into the OGC as a community standard
- Attention points (for the future): Attribute flexibility, Other sources than laser, Compression, Organization (clustering)



### HDF5 for Point Cloud data

- *Explore capabilities*: test/ benchmarks, assess tools
- Hierarchical Data Format (HDF): file format to store /organize large amounts of data, originally by National Center for Supercomputing Applications (NCSA)
- Hierarchical, filesystem-like data format, 2 types of objects:
  - Datasets: nD arrays
  - Groups: container structures for datasets and other groups
- See HDF5 for point cloud data
  - Chauhan et al (jun' 15): National Geospatial Intelligence Agency (NGA) Sensor Independent Point Cloud (SIPC)
  - Ingram (mar'16): Advanced Point Cloud Format Standards
- Note: also NetCDF 4 (more grid oriented) is based on HDF5



### Steaming Point Cloud webservices

- Web services protocol (request/selection, response)
- Data format
- Streaming, ordering, compression
- Caching
- Progressive refinement
- Support LoD's
- Visualization
- Fitting in existing WXS (WCS, WFS) or new service needed (WPCS)?
- Earlier work of OS Geo pointdown
  - <u>https://lists.osgeo.org/mailman/listinfo/pointdown</u>
  - https://github.com/pointdown/protocol

